

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Butte)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

CAROLYN GENE HILL,

Defendant and Appellant.

C081244

(Super. Ct. No. CM043709)

On September 10, 2015, at approximately 8:33 a.m., a Butte County Sheriff's deputy was dispatched to the Gold Country Casino regarding a subject who was trespassing. The suspect, defendant Carolyn Gene Hill, was trying to flee the scene in a truck. The deputy made a traffic stop and, after inspecting the occupants' identification, determined defendant, the driver, was wanted on numerous outstanding bench warrants. Once removed to the patrol car, defendant was seen manipulating her bra. The deputy confronted her. She produced a baggie from her bra. It contained 7.5 grams of

methamphetamine. A search of defendant's purse produced 2.2 grams of marijuana, and multiple bank cards, Social Security cards, and California driver's licenses belonging to other people. An additional search found altered bank checks and money orders totaling \$2,018.12.

Defendant was released and extradited to Shasta County on September 14, 2015. On October 10, 2015, she was found in possession of a stolen vehicle in Butte County.

Defendant pleaded no contest to identity theft of 10 or more persons (Pen. Code, § 530.5, subd. (c)(3)), possession of methamphetamine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377), and unlawful driving or taking of a vehicle (Veh. Code, § 10851). The trial court sentenced defendant to a split term of 365 days in jail and 608 days of mandatory supervision, imposed various fines and fees, and awarded 117 days (59 actual and 58 conduct) of presentence credit.

Defendant appeals. She did not obtain a certificate of probable cause.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant.

Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NICHOLSON, Acting P. J.

We concur:

HULL, J.

HOCH, J.